



# 1 Site Specific PCB-Correlated Interspecies Differences in Organ Somatic Indices

2 DIANE S. HENSHEL<sup>1,\*</sup> AND DANIEL W. SPARKS<sup>2</sup>

3 <sup>1</sup>*School of Public and Environmental Affairs, Indiana University, 1315 E. 10th #340, Bloomington,*  
4 *IN 47401, USA*

5 <sup>2</sup>*US Fish and Wildlife Service, 620 South Walker St, Bloomington, IN 47403, USA*

6 Accepted 25 March 2005

7 **Abstract.** We correlated site specific differences in the organ somatic indices of nestlings of five passerine  
8 species (tree swallow, red-winged blackbird, house wren, Carolina chickadee, and eastern bluebird) with the  
9 degree of polychlorinated biphenyls (PCB) exposure *in ovo* and post-hatching. The birds were exposed to  
10 PCBs at or downstream of four PCB-contaminated sites. Of the organs evaluated for this paper, brain,  
11 bursa, heart, kidneys, lungs, pancreas, spleen, stomach, and thyroid varied significantly ( $p < 0.05$ ) or marginally  
12 significantly ( $0.05 < p < 0.11$ ) between sites for at least one species. Differences were noted in the  
13 direction of the mean SI change (increased or decreased with increasing contaminant exposure by site)  
14 between different species for brain, lung, pancreas, spleen and thyroids. Our results indicated that no single  
15 passerine species fully represented the response characteristics observed in these species, and no single  
16 difference in organ SI should be used in ecotoxicological evaluations. Further, it is critical to include  
17 congener analysis in any evaluations since some endpoints in some species correlate well with total TEQs,  
18 and some with total PCBs and not TEQs. Some samples containing biologically significant levels of dioxin-  
19 like congeners would have been “non-detects” for total PCBs using common analytical methods.

20 **Keywords:** TEQ; pancreas; kidney; brain; thyroid; somatic index

## 21 Introduction

22 Polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) are ubiquitous  
23 environmental toxicants that are the basis for  
24 about 29% of nationwide fish advisories issued in  
25 2003, and is the contaminant responsible for the  
26 second largest number of fish advisories (EPA,  
27 2004). As developmental toxicants, PCBs and related  
28 organochlorines are known to interact with  
29 and cause functional and morphological changes  
30 in most organs including, but not limited to, the

nervous system (Henshel, 1998; McCarty and Se- 31  
cord, 1999a; DeWitt and Henshel, 2000), immune 32  
system (Fox and Grasman, 1999), heart (Henshel 33  
et al., 1993; Walker and Catron, 2000; Millsap 34  
et al., 2004; Yeager et al., 2004), liver (Anderson 35  
et al., 2003) and kidneys (Couture et al., 1990; 36  
Andreason et al., 2002) as a result of exposure 37  
during the embryonic and post-embryonic devel- 38  
opmental periods. Changes in development and 39  
functioning of these organs, which control such 40  
diverse critical functions as behavior, protection 41  
against biological stressors, oxygen availability, 42  
metabolism and excretion can seriously impact 43  
survival and quality of life (Gilbertson et al., 44  
1991). 45

\*To whom correspondence should be addressed:  
Tel.: +1-812-855-4556; Fax: +1-812-855-7802;  
E-mail: dhenshel@indiana.edu



46 Standard protocols for assessing ecological risk  
 47 and evaluation of the environmental impact of a  
 48 source of contamination (such as PCBs) call for  
 49 determination of the potential adverse effects of  
 50 the contaminants on local fauna. However, both  
 51 laboratory and field assessments have tended to  
 52 focus on indicator organisms, specific species that  
 53 have been well studied and are then used to model  
 54 the potential impacts on species that are less well  
 55 studied. Among the non-piscivorous avian species,  
 56 for example, the most recent indicator species of  
 57 choice has been the tree swallow (McCarty and  
 58 Secord, 1999b). The implicit assumption underly-  
 59 ing the practice of using indicator species is that all  
 60 species of a similar kind (such as passerines, or  
 61 even [more broadly] non-piscivorous birds) will  
 62 respond similarly to a given contaminant. The  
 63 main issue to be determined, under this assump-  
 64 tion, is the relative sensitivity of each species for  
 65 the chosen evaluation endpoints (e.g. a change in  
 66 body weight or organ somatic index). This  
 67 assumption of similar responsiveness needs to be  
 68 evaluated using multiple types of endpoints across  
 69 several species within the same study.

70 Our study compared the relative effects of *in ovo*  
 71 and post-hatching exposures to PCBs in five pas-  
 72 serine species: eastern bluebird (BB; *Sialia sialia*);  
 73 Carolina chickadee (CC; *Parus carolinensis*);  
 74 house wren (HW; *Troglodytes aedon*); red-winged  
 75 blackbird (RW; *Agelaius phoeniceus*); and tree  
 76 swallow (TS; *Tachycineta bicolor*). The birds were  
 77 exposed to PCBs while being reared in nests (RW)  
 78 or nest boxes (BB, CC, HW, TS) at or downstream  
 79 from four PCB-contaminated sites, three of which  
 80 are listed National Priorities List (NPL) sites. We  
 81 compared the organ somatic indices of the birds by  
 82 site and with the site-averaged concentrations of  
 83 total PCBs and TCDD-toxic equivalents [TEQs]  
 84 measured in a sibling nestling.

## 85 Methods

### 86 Sites

87 Six sites were evaluated in this study: five con-  
 88 taminated sites and one relatively uncontaminated  
 89 reference site. The PCBs at each of the contami-  
 90 nated sites originated from two separate industrial

sources. All environmental analyses indicated that  
 PCBs are the primary contaminant of concern at  
 all of these sites. Of the five contaminated sites, the  
 two least contaminated sites (Richland Creek [RC]  
 and Conard's Branch [CB]) feed into the West  
 Fork of the White River in connected streams,  
 which receive effluent from a single superfund site.  
 The other three contaminated sites (Winston-  
 Thomas [WT], Illinois Central Springs [ICS] and  
 Pleasant Run [PR]) feed into the East Fork of the  
 White River and have distinctly different sources  
 of PCB contamination. The reference site (Goose  
 Pond [GP]) is on the upper end of the Salt Creek  
 watershed, which also feeds into the East Fork of  
 the White River upstream of any PCB contami-  
 nation. However, birds migrate through the con-  
 taminated area enroute to the nesting area at  
 Goose Pond. Because house wrens were not pres-  
 ent at Goose Pond, Conard's Branch (a moder-  
 ately contaminated site) was used as the "reference  
 site" for the house wren data.

### Field collections

Nests at each site were monitored daily through-  
 out the nesting period. Egg laying and hatching  
 dates were recorded. All nestling birds from each  
 nest were collected prior to fledging (approx-  
 imately day 7 for red-winged blackbirds, days 10–  
 11 for house wrens, and approximately day 14 for  
 bluebirds, chickadees, and tree swallows) and  
 transported to the laboratory. At the laboratory,  
 one sibling chick from each nest was sacrificed by  
 decapitation and the whole body was placed in a  
 chemically clean glass jar for later chemical anal-  
 ysis. The remaining chick(s) were sacrificed and the  
 livers and brains were collected immediately. Liv-  
 ers were weighed and half was quick-frozen and  
 archived for biochemical analysis (–80 °C in  
 glycerin), while half was archived in 10% neutral  
 buffered formalin (NBF) at 4 °C for future histo-  
 logical study. Brains were removed from the brain  
 case and rapidly placed in NBF. Brains and the  
 rest of each body were immersion fixed in NBF  
 and stored at 4 °C for at least 2 weeks prior to  
 analysis. Later, the fixed birds were rinsed (to re-  
 move excess NBF), dissected, and the organs were  
 weighed using a semi-ultra balance (Mettler  
 AE240; +0.000005 g accuracy).

138 *Chemical analysis*

139 All samples were analyzed through the US Fish  
 140 and Wildlife Service's Patuxent Analytical Control  
 141 Facility (PACF) with the analyses actually done at  
 142 PACF or by the Geochemical & Environmental  
 143 Research Group (GERG) at Texas A&M Uni-  
 144 versity, College Station, TX under contract to  
 145 PACF. GERG analyzed total PCBs and PCB  
 146 congener numbers 1, 7, 8, 15, 16/32, 18, 22, 24, 25,  
 147 26, 28, 29, 31, 33, 39, 40, 41/64, 44, 45, 46, 47/48,  
 148 48, 49, 52, 53, 60/56, 63, 66, 67, 69, 70, 72, 74, 77,  
 149 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 87, 92, 95/80, 97, 99 101, 105,  
 150 107/108/144, 110, 114, 118/108/149, 119, 126, 128,  
 151 129, 130, 135, 136, 138, 141, 146, 149, 151, 153,  
 152 156/171/202, 158, 166, 167, 169, 170, 171/202, 172,  
 153 174, 175, 177, 178, 180, 183, 185, 187/182/159, 189,  
 154 191, 193, 194, 195, 196, 197, 199, 200, 201, 205,  
 155 206, 207, and 209 as designated by the Interna-  
 156 tional Union of Pure and Applied Chemists.  
 157 Congeners that co-eluted during chemical analysis  
 158 and could not be distinguished from one another  
 159 are grouped together by slashes.

160 *Data analysis and statistics*

161 This paper focuses on the site specific analyses of  
 162 the adrenals (left, right and total), bursa, brain,  
 163 heart, kidneys (left, right and total), liver, lungs  
 164 (total), pancreas, spleen, stomach (minus stomach  
 165 contents), and thyroids (left, right and total). Or-  
 166 gan somatic indices were determined by calculat-  
 167 ing each organ weight as a percentage of body  
 168 weight in order to correct for variations in body  
 169 size. Because some nestlings had relatively large  
 170 stomach contents, body weights used for this  
 171 normalization were pre-corrected for stomach  
 172 content weight.

173 All data were analyzed both graphically, to  
 174 observe trends, and statistically, to quantitatively  
 175 analyze between site means. All statistical analyses  
 176 were run using the SAS system (SAS Institute Inc,  
 177 Cary, NC). The organ weights and organ somatic  
 178 indices were compared by species and study site  
 179 using the SAS PROC GLM (General Linear  
 180 Model) analysis of variance (ANOVA), a proce-  
 181 dure that fits general linear models using least  
 182 squares analysis, and is especially suitable for  
 183 unbalanced data. Multivariate ANOVA (within  
 184 PROC GLM) was used for the multifactorial

analysis of the confounding factors. For house 185  
 wrens, we had to use one of the moderately con- 186  
 taminated sites as our reference site because no 187  
 house wrens built nests in the nest boxes at the 188  
 study reference site (Goose Pond) during the 189  
 2 years of the study. 190

Organ somatic indices were correlated against 191  
 total PCB concentrations and 2,3,7,8-tetrachlo- 192  
 rodibenzo-*p*-dioxin-based toxic equivalents 193  
 (TEQs) using linear regression analysis (PROC 194  
 REG) in SAS. To obtain optimal regression 195  
 equations, contaminant concentrations were also 196  
 evaluated using log, squared, and square root 197  
 transforms of the original data. TEQs were ob- 198  
 tained by multiplying the concentrations of the 199  
 individual PCB congeners by the appropriate 200  
 avian toxic equivalency factors developed by Van 201  
 den Berg et al. (1998) and summing the resultant 202  
 toxic equivalents. Both significant ( $p < 0.05$ ) and 203  
 marginally significant ( $0.05 < p < 0.11$ ) data are 204  
 reported. This latitude for statistical significance is 205  
 given because the relatively low numbers of spe- 206  
 cimens obtained for some species at some sites 207  
 resulted in a fairly low power to detect statistical 208  
 significance. 209

**Results** 210*Contamination levels* 211

Table 1 summarizes the average concentrations of 212  
 total PCBs, and TEQs by species in the sibling 213  
 nestlings at each site. The reference site, Goose 214  
 Pond, has the lowest average PCB concentrations 215  
 by at least one, and up to three orders of magni- 216  
 tude. Most Goose Pond nests had total egg PCB 217  
 levels that were below the detection limit (nomi- 218  
 nally 0.05 ppm wet weight). TEQ concentrations in 219  
 Goose Pond nestlings' overlapped TEQ concen- 220  
 trations in bluebirds at Conard's Branch and 221  
 Richland Creek, chickadees at Conard's Branch 222  
 and tree swallows at Winston-Thomas. The great- 223  
 est difference between individual TEQ concentra- 224  
 tions at Goose Pond and the highest TEQ 225  
 concentrations at any other site was approximately 226  
 30-fold. Of the other five sites, Richland Creek was 227  
 the least contaminated, based on the average 228  
 nestling PCB and TEQ concentrations. The birds 229  
 at Conard's Branch, Winston-Thomas, and Illinois 230

Table 1. Mean ( $\pm$ SD) concentration of total PCBs (ppm wet weight) and total dioxin TEQs (ppt wet weight) for each species collected at the various PCB study sites

Site		Eastern Bluebird	Carolina Chickadee	House Wren	Red-winged Blackbird	Tree Swallow
Goose Pond	PCB (ppm)	0.006***	0.017	np**	0.007 $\pm$ 0.002***	0.14 $\pm$ 0.11
	TEQs (ppt)	31***	43		40***	124 $\pm$ 95
	# of nests (# siblings)	2 (6)	1 (2)		3 (5)	28 (63)
Richland Creek	PCB (ppm)	0.05	np	np	0.28	ns*
	TEQs (ppt)	65			119	
	# of nests (# siblings)	1 (3)			2 (5)	
Conard's Branch	PCB (ppm)	0.74 $\pm$ 0.48	0.73 $\pm$ 0.96	1.21 $\pm$ 0.38	np	np
	TEQs (ppt)	63 $\pm$ 39	135 $\pm$ 118	263 $\pm$ 57		
	# of nests (# siblings)	7 (20)	3 (7)	3 (8)		
Winston-Thomas	PCB (ppm)	9.4	0.74	2.2	12.1 $\pm$ 11.4	16.3 $\pm$ 9.8
	TEQs (ppt)	1,458	223	158	1,318 $\pm$ 1,139	1,025 $\pm$ 601
	# of nests (# siblings)	2 (7)	1 (3)	1 (3)	3 (8)	26 (61)
Illinois Central Seeps	PCB (ppm)	np	1.4	9.5 $\pm$ 9.3	Np	np
	TEQs (ppt)		271	799 $\pm$ 604		
	# of nests (# siblings)		1 (2)	13 (58)		
Pleasant Run	PCB (ppm)	13.6 $\pm$ 9.7	5.12	np	np	ns
	TEQs (ppt)	367 $\pm$ 359	127			
	# of nests (# siblings)	3 (4)	2 (9)			

\*Not sampled.

\*\*Species not present at this site.

\*\*\*Red-winged blackbird and bluebird at Goose Pond are presented as half the detection limit because they were "non-detect".

231 Central Seeps tended to have higher contamination  
 232 levels, with Illinois Central Seeps nestlings usually  
 233 being the more contaminated (though this did vary  
 234 by species). The birds (bluebird and chickadee) at  
 235 Pleasant Run Creek and the house wren at Illinois  
 236 Central Seeps were the most contaminated of all.  
 237 The avian community at Pleasant Run Creek ap-  
 238 peared to be the most adversely impacted based on  
 239 limited nesting attempts and overall productivity  
 240 (Pruit, 2004). One of the shortcomings of this study  
 241 was that not all species were present at all the sites  
 242 due to differences in habitat preferences among the  
 243 species (Table 1). Unfortunately, we were unable  
 244 to find an appropriate reference site for house  
 245 wren, which resulted in no low dose values for the  
 246 species.

#### 247 *Organ somatic indices: evaluation by site*

248 Tables 2–6 summarize the mean organ somatic  
 249 indices ( $\pm$ SD) for each organ within each species  
 250 for which there is a statistically significant differ-  
 251 ence between at least one contaminated site and  
 252 the respective reference site. Of the organs evalu-  
 253 ated in this paper, brain, bursa, heart, kidney,  
 254 liver, lungs, pancreas, spleen, stomach and thyroid

showed a significant or a marginally significant  
 difference in organ somatic index by site in at least  
 one of the 5 species evaluated. Included in the  
 tables are the results of the cross-correlations using  
 the Least Squared Means within PROC GLM of  
 SAS, which corrects for the unbalanced design. All  
 comparisons in the LS Means evaluation are for  
 the contaminated sites against the reference site.

Of the somatic indices evaluated, differences be-  
 tween the reference and contaminated sites for  
 brain, pancreas and thyroid were significant (or  
 marginally significant) for four species each (brain:  
 BB [, CC [, HW .:, RW [; pancreas: BB .:, HW .:,  
 RW [, TS [; thyroid: BB [, CC [, HW [, RW .:). Bursa,  
 heart, kidney (left, right or total), and liver somatic  
 indices were significantly (or marginally signifi-  
 cantly) affected between sites for three species each  
 (bursa: BB [, CC [, TS [; heart: CC [, HW .:, TS .:;  
 kidney: BB .:, CC .:, HW .:; liver: CC [, HW [, RW  
 ]). Lung and spleen were significantly affected be-  
 tween sites for two species (lung: RW [, TS .:; spleen:  
 CC .:, HW []). Stomach had marginally significant  
 increases at two of the contaminated sites for  
 chickadee only. Adrenal somatic indices (left, right  
 or total) were not significantly affected between sites  
 for any of the five species using SAS PROC GLM.

Table 2. Means ( $\pm$ SD) of eastern bluebird organ somatic indices that are significantly different and marginally significantly different between sites, analyzed by LS Means *t*-test within PROC GLM

Organ SI	Goose Pond (ref)	Richland Creek	Conard's Branch	Winston-Thomas	Pleasant Run
Brain	0.03185 $\pm$ 0.0023	0.03305 $\pm$ 0.0002	<i>0.03395* <math>\pm</math> 0.0026</i>	0.03408 $\pm$ 0.0014	<b>0.03620** <math>\pm</math> 0.0045</b>
Bursa	0.00077 $\pm$ 0.00033	<i>0.00119* <math>\pm</math> 0.00020</i>	0.00088 $\pm$ 0.00031	0.00100 $\pm$ 0.00031	0.00087 $\pm$ 0.00035
Rt Kidney	0.0068 $\pm$ 0.0009	0.0059 $\pm$ 0.0004	0.0062 $\pm$ 0.0013	<i>0.0055* <math>\pm</math> 0.0007</i>	<i>0.0053* <math>\pm</math> 0.0004</i>
Total Kidney	0.0130 $\pm$ 0.0025	0.0110 $\pm$ 0.0008	0.0126 $\pm$ 0.0025	<i>0.0107* <math>\pm</math> 0.0015</i>	<i>0.0105* <math>\pm</math> 0.0008</i>
Pancreas	0.0050 $\pm$ 0.0007	0.0049 $\pm$ 0.0005	<i>0.0044* <math>\pm</math> 0.0008</i>	<i>0.0043* <math>\pm</math> 0.0006</i>	<b>0.0040** <math>\pm</math> 0.0004</b>
Lt Thyroid	0.000040 $\pm$ 0.000021	<b>0.000072** <math>\pm</math> 0.000011</b>	0.000056 $\pm$ 0.000024	0.000059 $\pm$ 0.000025	0.000041 $\pm$ 0.000007

\*Italics indicate a mean marginally significantly different from the reference site using LS Means comparisons ( $0.05 < p < 0.11$ ).

\*\*Bold indicates a mean significantly different from the reference site using LS Means comparisons ( $p < 0.05$ ).

281 Using the results of the PROC GLM ANOVA  
 282 and LS Means cross-tabulations comparisons be-  
 283 tween reference sites and each contaminated site  
 284 for each species, the chickadees had the largest  
 285 number of significantly affected somatic indices (8  
 286 organs), followed by house wrens (7 organs,  
 287 melding together left, right and total kidneys),  
 288 bluebirds and red-winged blackbirds (5 organs  
 289 each), and tree swallows (4 organs).

290 Table 7 presents the linear regression equations  
 291 for the best significant or marginally significant  
 292 correlation between sibling PCB or TEQ concen-  
 293 trations (or their transforms), averaged by site,  
 294 and the mean organ somatic indices for each organ  
 295 that was included in Tables 2–6. Because tree  
 296 swallows only nested at two sites, and any two  
 297 points (i.e. site averages) determine a line, these  
 298 linear regressions have no meaningful *p* value or *r*<sup>2</sup>  
 299 for the tree swallow data. Therefore, only the PCB  
 300 regression lines are presented in Table 7. Of the  
 301 organ somatic indices evaluated for which there  
 302 were significant correlations, only the bluebird  
 303 brain somatic indices seemed to be differentially

304 affected by PCBs (which increased the brain so-  
 305 matic index) and TEQs (which decreased the brain  
 306 somatic index). For all other organ somatic indices  
 307 for which a significant (or marginally significant)  
 308 correlation could be determined, thyroid (red-  
 309 winged blackbird) and kidney (bluebird only) so-  
 310 matic indices correlated similarly with both PCBs  
 311 and TEQs. Bluebird pancreas, chickadee and red-  
 312 winged blackbird brain, and chickadee spleen only  
 313 correlated with PCBs. Chickadee bursa was the  
 314 only organ somatic index that correlated exclu-  
 315 sively with TEQs.

### Discussion

316  
 317 Of the passerines studied in this paper, there is  
 318 limited to no similar data for most of them, and  
 319 only a few much more limited analyses in tree  
 320 swallow and bluebird. Thus, this paper is the first  
 321 to test the concept that a single species may be  
 322 used as a model or indicator species for the other  
 323 songbirds exposed to contaminants in the wild.

Table 3. Means ( $\pm$ SD) of Carolina chickadee organ somatic indices that are significantly different and marginally significantly different between sites, analyzed by LS Means *t*-test within PROC GLM

Organ SI	Goose Pond (ref)	Conard's Branch	Winston-Thomas	Illinois Central Seeps	Pleasant Run
Brain	0.059 $\pm$ 0.004	0.059 $\pm$ 0.003	0.060 $\pm$ 0.005	<i>0.067* <math>\pm</math> 0.003</i>	<b>0.069** <math>\pm</math> 0.004</b>
Bursa	0.0012 $\pm$ 0.0005	0.0013 $\pm$ 0.0005	0.0014 $\pm$ 0.0003	<b>0.0028** <math>\pm</math> 0.00004</b>	0.0008 $\pm$ 0.0003
Heart	0.0098 $\pm$ 0.0008	<b>0.0123** <math>\pm</math> 0.0019</b>	0.0089 $\pm$ 0.0006	0.0092 $\pm$ 0.0012	0.0095 $\pm$ 0.0027
Rt Kidney	0.0075 $\pm$ 0.0011	0.0065 $\pm$ 0.0005	0.0059 $\pm$ 0.0013	0.0065 $\pm$ 0.0013	<i>0.0058* <math>\pm</math> 0.0015</i>
Liver	0.0316 $\pm$ 0.0018	<b>0.0397** <math>\pm</math> 0.0042</b>	0.0330 $\pm$ 0.0015	0.0318 $\pm$ 0.0023	0.0314 $\pm$ 0.0033
Spleen	0.00083 $\pm$ 0.00014	0.00058 $\pm$ 0.00021	0.00058 $\pm$ 0.00003	0.00069 ( <i>n</i> = 1)	<b>0.00051** <math>\pm</math> 0.0002</b>
Stomach	0.027 $\pm$ 0.001	<i>0.033* <math>\pm</math> 0.005</i>	<i>0.035* <math>\pm</math> 0.005</i>	0.029 $\pm$ 0.004	0.030 $\pm$ 0.003
Lt Thyroid	0.00004 $\pm$ 0.000007	0.00006 $\pm$ 0.00001	0.00006 $\pm$ 0.000006	0.00003 $\pm$ 0.00003	<b>0.00007** <math>\pm</math> 0.00001</b>

\*Italics indicate a mean marginally significantly different from the reference site using LS Means comparisons ( $0.05 < p < 0.11$ ).

\*\*Bold indicates a mean significantly different from the reference site using LS Means comparisons ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Table 4. Means ( $\pm$ SD) of house wren organ somatic indices that are significantly different and marginally significantly different between sites, analyzed by LS Means *t*-test within PROC GLM

Organ SI	Conard's Branch (ref) <sup>#</sup>	Winston-Thomas	Illinois Central Seeps
Brain	0.056 $\pm$ 0.005	0.053 $\pm$ 0.002	0.054* $\pm$ 0.005
Heart	0.0085 $\pm$ 0.0018	<b>0.0063** <math>\pm</math> 0.0008</b>	<b>0.0070** <math>\pm</math> 0.0013</b>
Lt Kidney	0.0073 $\pm$ 0.0014	0.0056* $\pm$ 0.0005	<b>0.0061** <math>\pm</math> 0.0014</b>
Rt Kidney	0.0075 $\pm$ 0.0019	0.0066 $\pm$ 0.0013	0.0066* $\pm$ 0.0014
Tot Kidney	0.015 $\pm$ 0.003	0.012 $\pm$ 0.001	<b>0.013** <math>\pm</math> 0.002</b>
Liver	0.039 $\pm$ 0.003	0.045 $\pm$ 0.011	<b>0.044** <math>\pm</math> 0.006</b>
Pancreas	0.0046 $\pm$ 0.0015	0.0031* $\pm$ 0.0010	0.0043 $\pm$ 0.0015
Spleen	0.0007 $\pm$ 0.0004	<b>0.0015** <math>\pm</math> 0.0013</b>	0.0011* $\pm$ 0.0005
Rt Thyroid	0.00007 $\pm$ 0.00004	0.00010 $\pm$ 0.00006	0.00009* $\pm$ 0.00005

<sup>#</sup>Conard's Branch is used as the reference site for the house wrens, despite the moderate PCB contamination at Conard's Branch, because there were no house wrens collected from the nest boxes at Goose Pond.

\*Italics indicate a mean marginally significantly different from the reference site using LS Means comparisons ( $0.05 < p < 0.11$ ).

\*\*Bold indicates a mean significantly different from the reference site using LS Means comparisons ( $p < 0.05$ ).

324 Our results clearly demonstrate that no one  
 325 species full represents the PCB-correlated toxicity  
 326 pattern observed in other passerine species. Of the  
 327 species evaluated, the Carolina chickadee and  
 328 house wren had the most organ somatic indices  
 329 that varied significantly (or marginally signifi-  
 330 cantly) between the reference site and at least one  
 331 contaminated site. The house wren data is partic-  
 332 ularly concerning given that there was no true  
 333 reference site against which to compare the chan-  
 334 ges in organ somatic indices. Because it is likely  
 335 that even stronger differences would have been  
 336 seen between birds from a true reference site and  
 337 birds from the contaminated sites, the house wren  
 338 may well be the most sensitive species of all the  
 339 passerines evaluated in this study.

340 Interestingly, tree swallows had the fewest or-  
 341 gan somatic indices affected. Because, tree swal-  
 342 lows have become the passerine species of choice  
 343 for evaluating PCB contamination effects in the

wild (Bishop et al., 1998a, b; McCarty and Secord, 344  
 1999a, b) the lesser sensitivity of tree swallows 345  
 implies that some species not being used for 346  
 wildlife and effects monitoring may be affected in 347  
 ways that are not being evaluated. It is especially 348  
 notable that Carolina chickadees and house wrens 349  
 are the species that seem to have relatively lower 350  
 contamination levels compared to other species at 351  
 the same site, especially tree swallows and red- 352  
 winged blackbirds (see Winston-Thomas data in 353  
 Table 1). Taken together, these results suggest that 354  
 other species than tree swallows, and ideally more 355  
 than one species, also need to be monitored and 356  
 evaluated at contaminated sites. 357

The second major implication of our results is 358  
 that no one organ or no selected sub-sets of organs 359  
 are the best indicator organs for PCB-related 360  
 effects. Clearly, which organs are affected can vary 361  
 between species. Depending on the species, almost 362  
 every organ studied (except the adrenals) is 363

Table 5. Means ( $\pm$ SD) of red-winged blackbird organ somatic indices that are significantly different and marginally significantly different between sites, analyzed by LS Means *t*-test within PROC GLM

Organ SI	Goose Pond (ref)	Richland Creek	Winston-Thomas
Brain	0.026 $\pm$ 0.003	0.029 $\pm$ 0.006	<b>0.032** <math>\pm</math> 0.003</b>
Liver	0.042 $\pm$ 0.009	0.040 $\pm$ 0.006	0.048* $\pm$ 0.005
Lungs	0.015 $\pm$ 0.004	<b>0.018** <math>\pm</math> 0.003</b>	<b>0.018** <math>\pm</math> 0.001</b>
Pancreas	0.0087 $\pm$ 0.0021	0.0086 $\pm$ 0.0033	<b>0.0106** <math>\pm</math> 0.0022</b>
Rt Thyroid	0.00010 $\pm$ 0.00006	0.00009 $\pm$ 0.00003	<b>0.00006** <math>\pm</math> 0.00002</b>
Tot Thyroid	0.00018 $\pm$ 0.00009	0.00017 $\pm$ 0.00005	0.00011* $\pm$ 0.00005

\*Italics indicate a mean marginally significantly different from the reference site using LS Means comparisons ( $0.05 < p < 0.11$ ).

\*\*Bold indicates a mean significantly different from the reference site using LS Means comparisons ( $p < 0.05$ ).

Table 6. Means ( $\pm$ SD) of tree swallow organ somatic indices that are significantly different and marginally significantly different between sites, analyzed by LS Means *t*-test within PROC GLM

Organ SI	Goose Pond (ref)	Winston-Thomas
Bursa	0.0013 $\pm$ 0.0005	<i>0.0014* <math>\pm</math> 0.0006</i>
Heart	0.0102 $\pm$ 0.0015	<b>0.0093** <math>\pm</math> 0.0015</b>
Lungs	0.020 $\pm$ 0.003	<b>0.018** <math>\pm</math> 0.003</b>
Pancreas	0.0041 $\pm$ 0.0013	<i>0.0045* <math>\pm</math> 0.0014</i>

\*Italics indicate a mean marginally significantly different from the reference site using LS Means comparisons ( $0.05 < p < 0.11$ ).  
 \*\*Bold indicates a mean significantly different from the reference site using LS Means comparisons ( $p < 0.05$ ).

364 significantly different between the reference site  
 365 and at least one contaminated site for at least one  
 366 species. No organ is consistently affected for all of  
 367 the species. And no species manifests the site-  
 368 related differences in all of organs that are affected  
 369 in at least one species. Further, no two species  
 370 manifest the exact same pattern of organs affected  
 371 between sites. Thus, we recommend that complete

necropsies are carried out on all field and wildlife 372  
 specimens. 373

Comparing our results with those of other 374  
 studies evaluating effects of PCBs on passerines 375  
 (most of which have included evaluations of tree 376  
 swallows) indicates that differences in organ so- 377  
 matic indices are relatively sensitive effects to use 378  
 in field-based evaluations, and are at least as sen- 379  
 sitive as the more typically evaluated reproductive 380  
 parameters and nestling body weights. The 381  
 extrapolation of these findings to survival and 382  
 reproduction is harder to make. However, the so- 383  
 matic indices are not difficult to assess and are 384  
 indicative of potential gross or biochemical 385  
 abnormalities that can affect the health and long 386  
 term viability of the individuals within the affected 387  
 population. 388

The PCB concentrations in the passerines in our 389  
 Indiana sites were equivalent to or higher than 390  
 concentrations in nestlings from Kidney Island 391  
 and Arrowhead (bordering Lake Winnebago) in 392  
 the Green Bay and Fox River region of Wisconsin 393

Table 7. Significant or marginally significant regression equations linking mean site contaminant concentrations with mean site organ somatic indices by species for endpoints with significant or marginally significant differences between sites

Eastern Bluebird		
Brain SI = 9.89E-4*log PCB + 0.0341	<i>p</i> = 0.0379	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> = 0.8082
Brain SI = 1.27E-3*log PCB - 8.11E-10*TEQ <sup>2</sup> + 0.0341	<i>P</i> = 0.0310	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> = 0.9690
Right Kidney SI = -3.47E-4*log PCB + 0.00583	<i>p</i> = 0.0563	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> = 0.7539
Right Kidney SI = -7.16E-4*log TEQ + 0.00749	<i>p</i> = 0.0737	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> = 0.7087
Pancreas SI = -2.81E-4*log PCB + 0.00443	<i>p</i> = 0.0107	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> = 0.9153
Carolina Chickadee		
Brain SI = 5.53E-3*PCB <sup>1/2</sup> + 0.0566	<i>p</i> = 0.0616	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> = 0.7393
Bursa SI = 2.27E-8*TEQ <sup>2</sup> + 0.000795	<i>p</i> = 0.0652	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> = 0.7299
Right Kidney SI = -6.66E-4*log PCB + 0.00629	<i>p</i> = 0.0436	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> = 0.7905
Spleen SI = -1.16E-4*log PCB + 0.000610	<i>p</i> = 0.0504	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> = 0.7703
House Wren		
No equations are significant or marginally significant.		
Red-winged Blackbird		
Brain SI = 1.70E-3*logPCB + 0.0298	<i>p</i> = 0.0072	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> = 0.9999
Right Thyroid SI = -2.83E-5*log TEQ + 0.000146	<i>p</i> = 0.0046	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> = 0.9999
Right Thyroid SI = -1.34E-5*TEQ <sup>1/2</sup> + 0.000106	<i>p</i> = 0.1017	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> = 0.9747
Total Thyroid SI = -2.00E-5*PCB <sup>1/2</sup> + 0.000179	<i>p</i> = 0.0174	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> = 0.9993
Total Thyroid SI = -2.29E-6*TEQ <sup>1/2</sup> + 0.000193	<i>p</i> = 0.0312	<i>r</i> <sup>2</sup> = 0.9976
Tree Swallow		
Bursa SI = 7.43E-5*PCB + 0.00134	**	**
Heart SI = -4.60E-4*PCB + 0.00984	**	**
Lungs SI = -9.59E-5*PCB + 0.0201	**	**
Pancreas SI = 2.23E-4*PCB + 0.00427	**	**

\*\*As two points define a line, no *p* or *r*-square values are provided for tree swallows.

394 (Custer et al., 1998), and the multiple sites evalu- 444  
395 ated in Severn Sound, along the shores of Lakes 445  
396 Erie and Ontario and along the St. Lawrence River 446  
397 (Bishop et al., 1995). The Indiana birds were, 447  
398 however, equivalently or less contaminated than 448  
399 the tree swallows studied along the Hudson River 449  
400 (McCarty and Secord, 1999a). Both the Hudson 450  
401 River and the Fox River studies evaluated nesting 451  
402 success and mean nestling body weights. In the 452  
403 Fox River/Green Bay study, PCBs had no appar- 453  
404 ent influence on reproductive success, whereas in 454  
405 the Hudson River study, measurements of repro- 455  
406 ductive success parameters were altered. Mean 456  
407 body weights did not change significantly between 457  
408 colonies in the Fox River/Green Bay study, and 458  
409 were increased significantly at some of the con- 459  
410 taminated sites along the Hudson River. Neither 460  
411 study, nor others to date, evaluated organ somatic 461  
412 index changes in PCB-contaminated versus rela- 462  
413 tively uncontaminated passerines. 463

414 Brain, heart and liver changes in response to PCB 464  
415 or PCB-related organochlorine exposure have been 465  
416 documented in numerous species across the verte- 466  
417 brate classes. By the mid-1970s, avian wildlife data 467  
418 indicated that PCBs could accumulate in the brain 468  
419 at high concentrations (in the hundreds of ppm) and 469  
420 cause grossly adverse effects, such as severe tremors, 470  
421 convulsions and death (Gilbertson et al., 1991). 471  
422 Other studies on domesticated or laboratory-raised 472  
423 birds (pheasant [*Phasianus colchicus*] and ring dove 473  
424 [*Streptopelia risoria*]) demonstrated that exposure 474  
425 to moderate to high doses of PCBs also correlated 475  
426 with altered behavior. For example, ringdoves fed 476  
427 10 ppm PCBs were less attentive to their eggs, 477  
428 allowing them to cool more than did the control 478  
429 ringdoves (Peakall and Peakall, 1973). And tested 479  
430 behaviors of chicken hatchlings [*Gallus gallus*] 480  
431 exposed to either PCBs (0.0035–3.5 ppm) or the 481  
432 related chemical 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-di- 482  
433 oxin (TCDD; 2–200 ppt) *in ovo* also changed sig- 483  
434 nificantly and in correspondance with the 484  
435 contaminant dose (DeWitt and Henshel, 2000). 485  
436 Recent studies on birds exposed *in ovo* to PCBs and 486  
437 TCDD indicate that embryonic brains are more 487  
438 sensitive to the teratogenic effects of these com- 488  
439 pounds. Brain malformations and gross asymme- 489  
440 tries have been observed in a number of avian 490  
441 species (chicken, heron [*Ardea herodias*], cormo- 491  
442 rant [*Phalacrocorax auritus*], eagle [*Haliaeetus* 492  
443 *leucocephalus*], and passerines [the latter birds are a 493

part of this study]) exposed *in ovo* in the laboratory 444  
or in the wild to PCBs or TCDD at environmentally 445  
relevant, even “background” doses as low as 2 ppt 446  
TCDD (Henshel, 1998). 447

Supporting the supposition that PCBs may ad- 448  
versely affect the developing brain both anatomi- 449  
cally and physiologically are the observations by 450  
McCarty and Secord (1999b) that tree swallows 451  
living along the highly contaminated stretches of 452  
the PCB-laden Hudson River demonstrate 453  
abnormal nest-building behaviors and abnormally 454  
poor nest-building skills. Tree swallows that build 455  
inadequate nests may be less likely to successfully 456  
lay eggs, brood and hatch them, and have viable 457  
fledglings, since the eggs will be more likely to get 458  
damaged or chilled during the incubation period, 459  
that is, if the nests can even hold one egg. 460

Hearts are also known to be sensitive to the 461  
effects of PCBs and like compounds. Cheung et al. 462  
(1981) first documented TCDD-induced heart 463  
abnormalities in 14 day chicken embryos, where 464  
the full length of incubation is 21 days. Later 465  
studies evaluating the effects of TCDD and PCBs, 466  
on the development of embryonic hearts docu- 467  
mented that the dose-responsive TCDD/PCB-in- 468  
duced heart abnormalities, like the brain 469  
abnormalities, are quantifiably detectable very 470  
early in embryonic development (Henshel et al., 471  
1993). These characteristic heart abnormalities 472  
have been observed in tree swallow embryos from 473  
Winston-Thomas in a related part of our study 474  
(Henshel et al., 1997). Walker et al. (1997) and 475  
Walker and Catron (2000) confirmed that 476  
approximately 60 ng/g TCDD toxic equivalents 477  
(TEQs) caused edema, structural changes, and 478  
increased heart weight due to dilation in develop- 479  
ing chicken hearts by embryonic day 10 or 12. 480  
Malformed and dysfunctional hearts can lead to 481  
reduced cardiac fitness and an eventual increase in 482  
heart SI as the body tries to compensate for ineff- 483  
icient heart function. Powell et al. (1996) found 484  
that PCB126 caused contradictory changes in 485  
embryonic chicken heart weight, depending on the 486  
dose (decreased at low dose: 0.2 ppb; increased at 487  
high dose: 3.2 ppb). Similarly, the chickadees from 488  
the moderately contaminated Conard’s Branch 489  
site had an average increase in heart somatic index, 490  
whereas the mean heart somatic indices at all of 491  
the more highly contaminated sites (Winston- 492  
Thomas, Illinois Central Seeps, Pleasant Run) 493

494 were smaller than the mean heart somatic index in  
 495 the chickadees from the reference site. Bluebird  
 496 mean heart somatic indices also varied by site in  
 497 direction from the mean of the birds from the  
 498 reference site (increased at Conard's Branch, de-  
 499 creased at Richland Creek and Winston-Thomas  
 500 [data not shown, as none were statistically signif-  
 501 icant]). By comparison, mean heart somatic indices  
 502 in both tree swallow and house wren decreased  
 503 from the reference site to the contaminated sites.

504 The mean lung somatic index also varied in  
 505 direction depending on the species, increasing with  
 506 higher mean site PCBs in chickadee and red-win-  
 507 ged blackbird, decreasing in tree swallow and  
 508 house wren, and having mixed changes in bluebird  
 509 depending on the site. A reduced (or enlarged – if  
 510 due to early tissue vasculature damage) lung size  
 511 could be potentially detrimental to wildlife.

## 512 Acknowledgments

513 We thank Scott Sobiech, Cindy Chaffee, Chris  
 514 Fox, Jamie DeWitt, Ethel Wilkerson, Kris Benson,  
 515 Ying Lam, Eric Roush, Rebecca Jeong, Cathy  
 516 Meyer, Jennifer Mullin and Phoebe Wakhungu for  
 517 their field and laboratory assistance; Angela Ro-  
 518 ark, Ronnie Yeager and Phoebe Wakhungu for  
 519 data processing and analysis; and Steve Heise for  
 520 QA/QC checking. This work was supported by the  
 521 US Fish and Wildlife Service Environmental Con-  
 522 taminants Program, the Department of the Interior  
 523 Natural Resource Damage Assessment and Resto-  
 524 ration Program, and Region V of the US Environ-  
 525 mental Protection Agency.

## 526 References

527 Anderson, M.J., Cacula, D., Beltman, D., The, S.J., Okihiro,  
 528 M.S., Hinton, D.E., Denslow, N. and Zelikoff, J.T. (2003).  
 529 Biochemical and toxicopathic biomarkers assessed in small  
 530 mouth bass recovered from a polychlorinated biphenyl-  
 531 contaminated river. *Biomarkers* **8**, 371–93.  
 532 Andreason, E.A., Spitsbergen, J.A., Tanguay, R.L., Stegeman,  
 533 J.L., Heideman, W. and Peterson, R.E. (2002). Tissue-spe-  
 534 cific expression of AhR2, ARNT2, and CYP1A in zebra fish  
 535 embryos and larvae: Effects of developmental stage and  
 536 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin exposure. *Toxicol. Sci.*  
 537 **68**, 403–19.  
 538 Bishop, C.A., Boermans, H.J., Ng, P., Campbell, G.D. and  
 539 Struger, J. (1998a). Health of tree swallows (*Tachycineta*  
 540 *bicolor*) nesting in pesticide-sprayed apple orchards in

Ontario, Canada. I. Immunological parameters. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health Part A*. **55**, 531–59. 541  
 542  
 Bishop, C.A., Koster, M.D., Chek, A.A., Hussell, D.J.T. and 543  
 Jock, K. (1995). Chlorinated hydrocarbons and mercury in 544  
 sediments, red-winged blackbirds (*Agelaius phoeniceus*) and 545  
 tree swallows (*Tachycineta bicolor*) from wetlands in the 546  
 Great Lakes - St. Lawrence River basin. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **14**, 491–501. 547  
 548  
 Bishop, C.A., Van Der Kraak, G.J., Ng, P., Smits, J.E. and 549  
 Hontela, A. (1998b). Health of tree swallows (*Tachycineta* 550  
*bicolor*) nesting in pesticide-sprayed apple orchards in Ont- 551  
 ario, Canada. II. Sex and thyroid hormone concentrations 552  
 and testes development. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health Part A*. 553  
**55**, 561–81. 554  
 555  
 Cheung, M.O., Gilbert, E.F. and Peterson, R.E. (1981). Car- 556  
 diovascular teratogenicity of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*- 557  
 dioxin in the chick embryo. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **61**, 558  
 197–204. 559  
 Couture, L.A., Abbott, B.A. and Birnbaum, L.S. (1990). A 560  
 critical review of the developmental toxicity and teratoge- 561  
 nicity of 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin: Recent ad- 562  
 vances toward understanding the mechanism. *Teratology* 563  
**42**, 619–27. 564  
 Custer, C.M., Custer, T.W., Allen, A.P., Stromborg, K.L. and 565  
 Melancon, M.J. (1998). Reproduction and environmental 566  
 contamination in tree swallows nesting in the Fox River 567  
 drainage and Green Bay, Wisconsin, USA. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **17**, 1786–98. 568  
 DeWitt, J.C. and Henshel, D.S. (2000). A chick chick here and 569  
 a chick chick there...chicks, behavior and TCDD-induced 570  
 brain asymmetry. SETAC 21st Annual Meeting, Nashville, 571  
 TN, November 2000. 572  
 Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) (2004). National 573  
 listing of fish advisories. EPA Fact Sheet. EPA-823-F-04– 574  
 016. <http://www.epa.gov/waterscience/fish>. 575  
 Fox, L.L. and Grasman, K.A. (1999). Effects of PCB 126 on 576  
 primary immune organ development in chicken embryos. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health Part A*. **58**, 233–44. 577  
 578  
 Gilbertson, M., Kubiak, T., Ludwig, J. and Fox, G. (1991). 579  
 Great Lakes embryo mortality, edema, and deformities 580  
 syndrome (GLEMEDS) in colonial fish-eating birds: simi- 581  
 larity to chick-edema disease. *J. Toxicol. Environ. Health* **33**, 582  
 455–520. 583  
 Henshel, D.S. (1998). Developmental and neurotoxic effects of 584  
 dioxin and dioxin-like compounds on domestic and wild 585  
 avian species. *Environ. Toxicol. Chem.* **17**, 88–98. 586  
 Henshel, D.S., Hehn, B.M., Vo, M.T. and Steeves, J.D. 587  
 (1993). A short-term test for dioxin teratogenicity using 588  
 chicken embryos. In J.W. Gorsuch, F.J. Dwyer, C.G. 589  
 Ingersoll and T.W. La Point (eds). *Environmental* 590  
*Toxicology and Risk Assessment*, pp. 159–174. Philadel- 591  
 phia: American Society for Testing and Materials, 2nd 592  
 Volume, ASTM STP 1173. 593  
 Henshel, D.S., Sparks, D.W., Allen, C.A., Benson, K., Fox, C., 594  
 Lam, Y., Sobiech, S.A. and Wagey R. (1997). Validation of 595  
 the early embryo teratogenesis assay: a comparison of early 596  
 embryo abnormalities with late embryo and hatchling ter- 597  
 atogenic changes (preliminary results). In J. Dwyer, T.R. 598  
 Doane and M.L. Hinman (eds), *Environmental Toxicology* 599

- 600 *and Risk Assessment: Modelling and Risk Assessment* (6th  
601 Volume), ASTM STP 1317, F. American Society for Testing  
602 and Materials, pp. 391–401.
- 603 Hoffman, D.J., Rice, C.P. and Kubiak, T.J. (1996). PCBs  
604 and dioxins in birds. In W.N. Beyer, G.H. Heinz and  
605 A.W. Redmon-Norwood (eds). *Environmental Contami-*  
606 *nants in Wildlife: Interpreting Tissue Concentrations*, Boca  
607 Raton, FL: SETAC Special Publications Series. Lewis  
608 Publishers.
- 609 McCarty, J.P. and Secord, A.L. (1999a). Reproductive ecology  
610 of tree swallows (*Tachycineta bicolor*) with high levels of  
611 polychlorinated biphenyl contamination. *Environ. Toxicol.*  
612 *Chem.* **18**, 1433–1439.
- 613 McCarty, J.P. and Secord, A.L. (1999b). Nest building behav-  
614 ior in PCB-contaminated tree swallows. *Auk* **116**, 55–63.
- 615 Millsap, D., Wakhungu, P., Yeager, R., Heise, S., DeWitt, J.,  
616 Sparks, D. and Henshel, D. (2004). Ventricular wall thin-  
617 ning and external heart deformities in passerine birds ex-  
618 posed to environmental mixtures of PCBs during  
619 development. SETAC 25th Annual Meeting. Portland, OR,  
620 November 2004.
- 621 Peakall, D.B. and Peakall, M.L. (1973). Effect of polychlori-  
622 nated biphenyl on the reproduction of artificially and nat-  
623 urally incubated dove eggs. *J. Appl. Ecol.* **10**, 863–68.
- 624 Powell, D.C., Aulerich, R.J., Meadows, J.C., Tillitt, D.E.,  
625 Giesy, J.P., Stromborg, K.L. and Bursian, S.J. (1996). Ef-  
626 fects of 3,3',4,4',5-pentachlorobiphenyl (PCB 126) and  
627 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin (TCDD) injected into  
the yolks of chicken (*Gallus domesticus*) eggs prior to incu- 628  
bation. *Arch. Environ. Contam. Toxicol.* **31**, 404–9. 629
- Pruit, S.E., (2004). Letter from US Fish and Wildlife Service 630  
Bloomington Field Office supervisor to B. Stimple, Pleasant 631  
Run Superfund on-scene coordinator for US EPA. Sep- 632  
tember, 22:2004. 633
- Van den Berg, M., Birnbaum, L., Bosveld, A.T.C., Brunstrom, 634  
B., Cook, P., Freeley, M., Giesy, J.P., Hanberg, A., 635  
Hasegawa, R., Kennedy, S.W., Kubiak, T., Larson, J.C., 636  
van Leeuwen, F.X., Liem, A.K., Nolt, C., Peterson, R.E., 637  
Poellinger, L., Safe, S., Schrenk, D., Tillitt, D., Tysklind, 638  
M., Younes, M., Waern, F. and Zacharewski, T. (1998). 639  
Toxic equivalency factors (TEFs) for PCBs, PCDDs, 640  
PCDFs for humans and wildlife. *Environ. Health Perspec.* 641  
**106**, 775–92. 642
- Walker, M.K. and Catron, T.F. (2000). Characterization of 643  
cardiotoxicity induced by 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-di- 644  
oxin and related chemicals during early chick embryo 645  
development. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **167**, 210–21. 646
- Walker, M.K., Pollenz, R.S. and Smith, S.M. (1997). Expres- 647  
sion of the aryl hydrocarbon receptor (AhR) and AhR nu- 648  
clear translocator during chick cardiogenesis is consistent 649  
with 2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin-induced heart de- 650  
fects. *Toxicol. Appl. Pharmacol.* **143**, 407–19. 651
- Yeager, R., DeWitt, J., Millsap, D., Heise, S., Sparks, D. and 652  
Henshel D. (2004). External heart deformities in passerines 653  
environmentally exposed to PCBs during development: 654  
Species and gender sensitivity. 655  
656